

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/050,923	01/22/2002	Yuichi Takamine	36856.597 4953		
7590 10/28/2003			EXAMINER		
Keating & Bennett LLP Suite 312			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
10400 Eaton Pla	ice		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fairfax, VA 22030			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>`</u>		Application No.	A	oplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/050,923					
		Examiner		t Unit			
	•	Mark Budd		34			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput of the provision of	136(a). In no event, hower ly within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S a, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely firmum of thirty (30) days will IX (6) MONTHS from the ribecome ABANDONED (3	iled be considered timely. nailing date of this communication. 5 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
')□ 2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) The	—_ · nis action is non-fir	امر				
3)□				oution on to the marite in			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
• _	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	ition.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 17-21</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
	•	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
,-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* S	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment							
2) 🛄 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 1		O-413) Paper No(s) ht Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/050,923

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 12-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

The claims are vague indefinite and misdescriptive, or based on an inadequate disclosure.

The claim appears to be directed to the embodiment of figs 16-18. However, there is no written

description or illustration of a device wherein the finger over lap lengths are varied ("such that

opposing portions of he first and second inter-digital electrodes are reduced in size as compared

to the remaining ones---").

Claims 1-11 and 17-21 are allowed.

Further cited of interest are Kawakatsu, Abe, Edmonson, Endoh, Takamine and Strauss.

M BUDD/pj

10/20/03

M

Page 2